Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1651-1652

# Structure of a $Y_{1-x}(Gd, Dy, Er)_x PO_4.2H_2O$ Microcrystal Using Synchrotron Radiation

MONIKA KOHLMANN, HEIDRUN SOWA, KLAUS REITHMAYER AND HEINZ SCHULZ

Institut für Kristallographie und Mineralogie, Universität München, Theresienstrasse 41, D-80333 München, Germany

ROLF-RÜDIGER KRÜGER

Institut für Anorganische Chemie und SFB 173 der Universität, Callinstrasse 9, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

WALTER ABRIEL

AWHchemconsult, Weilheimerstrasse 15, D-81373 München, Germany

(Received 12 March 1993; accepted 21 January 1994)

## Abstract

The gypsum-type structure of the title compound, weinschenkite [churchite(Y)], is confirmed. The H-atom positions could not be located. The structures of gypsum [Cole & Lancucki (1974). Acta Cryst. B**30**, 921– 929], CaSeO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O [Krüger & Abriel (1991). Acta *Cryst.* C47, 1958–1959] and weinschenkite are isotypic. However, the temperature of dehydration of these phases is different and can be correlated to the strength of the hydrogen bonds. The minimum distance between water O and phosphate O atoms is considered to be a measure of this strength. The mean length of the hydrogen bonds decreases with increasing temperature of dehydration, from gypsum (2.856 Å) to CaSeO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O (2.845 Å) and weinschenkite (2.726 Å); hence the strength of the hydrogen bonds increases.

# Comment

The single-crystal structure refinement of weinschenkite was undertaken in order to obtain more accurate structural information about bond lengths. The structure is shown in Fig. 1. A projection plot of the isotypic gypsum-type structure is given elsewhere (Cole & Lancucki, 1974).

It was not possible to grow  $YPO_4.2H_2O$  crystals large enough for single-crystal investigations (Krüger, 1991), therefore, a small powder grain of the natural mineral weinschenkite was selected and prepared by the microcrystal method of Rieck, Euler, Schulz & Schildkamp (1988). Because of the small crystal volume (2450  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) and scattering power, synchrotron radiation was required for the structure determination.

A discussion of the structural relationships between gypsum,  $CaSeO_4.2H_2O$  and weinschenkite will be published in due course (Krüger & Abriel, 1994).



Fig. 1. Structure of  $Y_{1-x}(Gd,Dy,Er)_xPO_4.2H_2O$  projected along [001]. Thermal vibration ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level. The numbers below the element symbols represent the fractional height along c. The PO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are depicted with 'open' bonds. The broken lines show the coordination of  $Y^{3+}$  and the fine lines indicate the  $O(W) \cdots O(1)$  distances that represent the hydrogen bonds.

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994

### Experimental

The crystal was prepared from material obtained from Auerbach, Oberpfalz, Bavaria, Germany.

#### Crystal data

| Y <sub>0.947</sub> Dy <sub>0.028</sub> Er <sub>0.018</sub> Gd <sub>0.007</sub> - | Synchrotron radiation         |
|--|-------------------------------|
| PO <sub>4</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O   | $\lambda = 0.9998 \text{ Å}$  |
| $M_r = 223.85$   | Cell parameters from 33       |
| Monoclinic   | reflections                   |
| $I_2/a$  | $\theta = 9.5 - 24^{\circ}$   |
| a = 5.578 (1) Å  | $\mu = 15.48 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ |
| b = 15.006 (3) Å   | T = 293  K                    |
| c = 6.275 (2) Å  | Needle                        |
| $\beta = 117.83 \ (2)^{\circ}$   | $0.1130 \times 0.0054 \times$ |
| V = 464.5 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>   | 0.0040 mm                     |
| Z = 4  | Colourless                    |
| $D_x = 3.20 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$   |                               |

#### Data collection

| Stoe five-circle (HASYLAB)                 | $R_{\rm int} = 0.083$             |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| diffractometer                             | $\theta_{\rm max} = 49.9^{\circ}$ |
| Profile data from $\omega$                 | $h = -7 \rightarrow 8$            |
| scans (0.02° steps, 95                     | $k = 0 \rightarrow 21$            |
| steps/reflection, 1.5 s/step)              | $l = -9 \rightarrow 8$            |
| Absorption correction:                     | 1 standard reflection             |
| analytical                                 | frequency: 45 min                 |
| $T_{\rm min} = 0.45, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.93$ | intensity variation: 3.3%         |
| 1335 measured reflections                  |                                   |
| 743 independent reflections                |                                   |
| 637 observed reflections                   |                                   |
| $[F > 3.0\sigma(F)]$                       |                                   |

#### Refinement

| Refinement on F                     | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.8 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| R = 0.077                           | $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -2.4 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ |
| wR = 0.052                          | Extinction correction: Type 1                             |
| S = 1.742                           | Gaussian isotropic (Becker                                |
| 644 reflections                     | & Coppens, 1974)  |
| 39 parameters                       | Extinction coefficient: 15080                             |
| H-atom parameters not               | Atomic scattering factors                                 |
| refined                             | from International Tables                                 |
| $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$                 | for X-ray Crystallography                                 |
| $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ | (1974, Vol. IV, Table                                     |
|                                     | 2.4.6B)   |

 
 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

|    | x        | у          | Z         | $U_{eq}$   |
|----|----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Y  | 1/4      | 0.8289(1)  | 0         | 0.0059 (5) |
| Р  | 1/4      | 0.3307 (3) | 0         | 0.013(1)   |
| 01 | 0.302(1) | 0.3857 (5) | 0.224 (1) | 0.020(3)   |
| 02 | 0.506(1) | 0.2714 (5) | 0.084(1)  | 0.015 (3)  |
| O3 | 0.630(2) | 0.0680 (5) | 0.218(1)  | 0.022 (4)  |

### Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

| Y-01 <sup>i</sup>  | 2,434 (6) | 01—02 <sup>iii</sup> | 2.56(1)   |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Y-02 <sup>ii</sup> | 2.251 (6) | 01—01 <sup>iii</sup> | 2.59(1)   |
| Y—O2 <sup>i</sup>  | 2.470 (7) | 01—03 <sup>iv</sup>  | 2.690 (7) |
| Y—03 <sup>ii</sup> | 2.360 (7) | 01—03 <sup>i</sup>   | 2.76(1)   |
| P01                | 1.536 (8) | O2—O2 <sup>iii</sup> | 2.547 (7) |
|                    |           |                      |           |

©1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

| P—02                         | 1.550 (6)                          | 02—02 <sup>v</sup>   | 2.622 (7)            |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| 01—02                        | 2.44 (1)                           | 02—01 <sup>111</sup>   | 2.56(1)              |
| 01—P—01 <sup>iii</sup>       | 115.0 (5)                          | O1—P—O2  | 104.3 (4)            |
| O2—P—O2 <sup>iii</sup>       | 110.0 (4)                          | O1—P—O2 <sup>iii</sup>                                       | 111.7 (3)            |
| Symmetry codes: (            | i) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y$        | $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii) $1 - x$ , 1                         | -y, 1-z; (iii)       |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ -x, y, -z; (iv | $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y$ | $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z; (v) \frac{3}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}$ | $-y, \frac{1}{2}-z.$ |

Data collection and cell refinement: *DIF4* (Stoe & Cie, 1985). Data reduction: local program; *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976). Program(s) used to solve structure: *PROMETHEUS* (Zucker, Perenthaler, Kuhs, Bachmann & Schulz, 1983). Program(s) used to refine structure: *PROMETHEUS*.

This investigation was supported by the Ministry of Research and Technology of Germany under number 05464IBB9. Samples were kindly supplied by R.-R. Krüger.

Lists of structure factors and anisotropic displacement parameters have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1039). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

- Becker, P. J. & Coppens, P. (1974). Acta Cryst. A30, 129-147.
- Cole, W. F. & Lancucki, C. J. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 921-929.
- Krüger, R.-R. (1991). Private communication.
- Krüger, R.-R. & Abriel, W. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1958-1959.
- Krüger, R.-R. & Abriel, W. (1994). In preparation.
- Rieck, W., Euler, H., Schulz, H. & Schildkamp, W. (1988). Acta Cryst. A44, 1099-1101.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Stoe & Cie (1985). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 5.1. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Zucker, U. H., Perenthaler, E., Kuhs, W. F., Bachmann, R. & Schulz, H. (1983). J. Appl. Cryst. 16, 358.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1652-1655

# NaVSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>

Haruo Ohashi, Toshikazu Osawa and Akira Sato

National Institute for Research in Inorganic Materials, Namiki 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

(Received 21 July 1993; accepted 25 April 1994)

### Abstract

The structure of sodium vanadium metasilicate, NaVSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, has been determined from diffractometer data. The structure is similar to that of jadeite (NaAlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>). Comparison of a new crystal structure refinement for NaVSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> with published refinements for ten Na $M^{3+}$ Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> pyroxenes indicates that the O1—Si—